

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Peter Matthews | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------|----------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/004,742 | 12/04/2001 | | | 8290 | |
| 9809 | 7590 | 04/12/2005 | | EXAMINER . | |
| KEELING I | | N LLC | WEIER, ANTHONY J | | |
| HOUSTON, | | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 110001011, | | , • | | 1761 | |

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | ř |
|--|--|---|
| | | ľ |
| | | |

| • | | | Θ |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 10/004,742 | MATTHEWS ET AL. | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Anthony Weier | 1761 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence addi | ess |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>21 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| I. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filin applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1 application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Ap Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: |) an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu | evidence, which place e with 37 CFR 41.31; | es the or (3) a |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the | nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o | f the final rejection. | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monthermore partned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. tatutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) |
| 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appear was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR Appear (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appear has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS | 41.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of | ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a | the Notice of |
| B. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further concept. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE believed) | onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); | TE below); | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | ,, , | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | - | jected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | ` '' | omentiant Amondmont | (DTOL 224) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | omphant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be | | timely filed amendm | ent canceling |
| the non-allowable claim(s). | | , | o o |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>14-18</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-13</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | • | | |
| B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to | | | |

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

11.
☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other: ____.

> Anthony Weier Primary Examiner 4

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The recitation of shearing through a rubbing action in the polishing step is a new issue that would require further search and consideration. Moreover, same would raise the issue of new matter; the original specification appears to only refer to a shearing action when employing the friction step between rollers.